

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/051,727	BANDYOPADHYAY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	

All Participants:

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

(2) Anastasia P. Winslow.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 May 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

59-61

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed attorney that claims 1-6, 8, 10-13, 30-32 and 34-55 are allowable and that claims 59-61 are broader in scope with respect to the process of lyophilization. Attorney authorized examiner to cancel claims 59-61 by examiner's amendment and informed examiner that the claims will be pursued in a continuation or a divisional application..